FLOOD RESILIENCE CHECKLIST FOR STATE PLANNERS

Better prepare your state for flooding and sea level rise



1

REVIEW EXISTING PLANNING DOCUMENTS AND EFFORTS ACROSS THE STATE

Consider:

- » On average, states have 2–3 plans that address flood resilience and adaptation.
- » Determine what plans address flooding in your state and who is responsible for development and implementation.

Review the State Hazard Mitigation Plan and any applicable flood, coastal, climate, water, resilience, and emergency management plans.

Develop an understanding of the departments, agencies, offices, and non-governmental stakeholders involved in flood planning.

2 MOBILIZE THE FULL FORCE OF STATE GOVERNMENT

Consider:

- » State flood planning is often spread across multiple plans and offices.
- » Siloed planning can lead to different, and sometimes competing, planning principles, time horizons, objectives, and risk projections that fail to account for a state's full flood risk.
 - Establish a central source for strategic flood planning with values, goals, and outcome indicators to use across all state efforts.
 - **Stablish** timelines to regularly update flood plans.
 - Identify dedicated funding for flood planning and other hazard mitigation planning, including for continual data collection and analysis.

BUILD PLANS ON A FOUNDATION OF FORWARD-LOOKING DATA

Consider:

- » Flood risk models based on historic trends fail to provide a true view of a state's current and future risk.
- » Because of discriminatory land use and housing policies, socially vulnerable communities are often located in floodprone areas.
- » Without data on social vulnerabilities, states will see disproportionate and inequitable outcomes when flooding occurs.
 - Incorporate probabilistic models with forward-looking climate projections into risk assessments.
 - Incorporate geographic data of projected population growth and locations of socially vulnerable communities into risk assessments.
 - Set metrics around whether socially vulnerable communities are meaningfully engaged, included in risk analyses, and have their needs met.
 - Increase data resources at the state level, including technical assistance staff and engineers, and provide local access to these resources.

4 SUPPORT LOW-RESOURCED COMMUNITIES AND INCORPORATE COMMUNITY INPUT

Consider:

- » States lean on local governments to carry out state flood plans; however, few plans include strategies to assist low-capacity communities.
- » Assistance is particularly important for communities that have been historically and systemically excluded from government aid; these communities often lack capacity to research and respond to funding opportunities and technical expertise to assess flood risks.
 - Target efforts to ensure low-capacity communities can access state funding and technical assistance.
 - Engage with local communities to tap into lived knowledge of flooding impacts.
 - Highlight co-benefits of projects to encourage buy-in and coordination among stakeholders.
 - Budget time and funding for meaningful public engagement beyond cursory public comment periods in flood planning.
 - Areward local jurisdictions developing resilience plans that prioritize ongoing engagement with diverse stakeholders and residents.

5 ADVANCE AN ACTIONABLE IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

Consider:

- » Without a strong strategy to carry out plan projects and initiatives, states risk standing idle and missing their goals.
- » Few plans identify committed funding strategies, project prioritization, and departments or individuals responsible for project implementation.
 - Include specific initiatives and actions with concrete details, such as project scoping information, goals, timelines, committed funds, and responsible parties, as well as public communication and accountability strategies.
 - Incorporate flood-related resilience objectives into daily operations and agency priorities.
 - Create a prioritized list of specific projects and actions linked to accountable entities and funding sources.
 - Use metrics tied to desired strategic outcomes, rather than outputs, to track and report progress toward implementation.